```
THE COURT: For the record, this is the matter of
       1
       2.
          the United States versus Carl Burdick.
                      Good morning, Mr. Burdick.
       3
                      THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.
       Δ
                      THE COURT: You are appearing with your attorney,
10:47:45AM 5
          Ms. Burger; is that correct?
       6
       7
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
       8
                      MS. BURGER: Judge, would it be okay if Mr. Burdick
       9
          is seated during the proceeding?
10:47:52AM10
                      THE COURT: Absolutely.
      11
                      MS. BURGER:
                                    Thank you.
      12
                      THE COURT: Mr. Rossi is here on behalf of the
      13
          Government. And if my memory serves me correctly, it's Mr.
          and Mrs. Martin; is that correct? You are welcome to be here.
      14
                      This is a continuation of the sentencing.
10:48:01AM15
      16
          Mr. Burdick, the Court would intend to pick up where we left
      17
          off unless anyone has an objection to that.
      18
                      MS. BURGER: That's fine.
      19
                      THE COURT: I certainly went through all of the
10:48:15AM20
          preliminaries last time.
      21
                      I recall the issue you raised, Ms. Burger, was
      2.2
          about any truth verification provision. The Court ordered
      23
          your recommendations that would apply to the one suggested
      2.4
          because that was the product of some effort on the part of the
10:48:30AM25
          Court.
```

Where we left off -- and is that acceptable with 1 2 you, Mr. Burdick, if we just pick up where we left off? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Rossi, is that acceptable to the Δ Government? 10:48:47AM 5 6 MR. ROSSI: Yes, Judge. 7 THE COURT: And here is where we left off. 8 going through the sentencing factors. And the Court did go to the mention of the sentencing -- one of the sentencing 10:49:00AM10 factors. I indicated I considered all of the sentencing 11 factors, the nature and circumstances of the offense and the 12 history and characteristics of the defendant. And in that 13 regard, I referred to paragraph 84 of the Presentence Investigation Report which details a prior incident with a 14 14-year-old girl. I won't repeat it, but I essentially read 10:49:26AM15 16 from paragraph 84. And I thought -- and I indicated that was 17 certainly relevant, in my mind, on the defendant's 18 characteristics. 19 At that point, Ms. Burger, you were concerned that 10:49:43AM20 might somehow impact the sentence. That you had not 21 specifically had an opportunity to address that. We had a 2.2 discussion about if it was contested, then the Court, pursuant 23 to directions at his sentencing hearing, didn't need to

conduct a full blown evidentiary hearing. It was disputed,

could rely on, without a term, reliable hearsay. That's the

2.4

10:50:07AM25

point, I think what Mr. Rossi indicated, there was an affidavit that had been obtained from the 14-year-old victim in the prior case. The Court -- Mr. Rossi indicated it had not been provided to the Court, but it had been provided to the defense. You indicated that you wanted a chance to discuss this with Mr. Burdick to see if he wanted to contest what was set forth in paragraph 84 or what was set forth in the affidavit. We received nothing. So I assume Mr. Burdick does not want to contest that.

2.

3

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

10:50:26AM 5

10:50:44AM10

10:51:00am15

10:51:19AM20

10:51:41AM25

MS. BURGER: Let me, I guess, fill the Court in a bit. Obviously, I was not the issue attorney on the case.

And I began representing Mr. Burdick after Mr. Smith retired and that was between plea and sentence. I would note that very early on in the case the affidavit which is, in my view, essentially summarized in its important respects in the PSR, each of the versions of the PSR, was provided to Mr. Smith.

Since we last appeared, I had the opportunity to have someone from my office speak to the victim in that case, interview her, speak to her, speak to her mother, and I have been able to satisfy myself with respect to her account. And I have conveyed that to Mr. Burdick. And in these discussions, the fruit of the discussions are that Mr. Burdick has decided that he does not wish me to lodge an objection. It is understood that the Court will take that information in that paragraph as fact since we are not opposing it, but

1 | that's what's happened since we were last here.

THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Burdick?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Then we can proceed. As I was pointing out at the time that we adjourned sentencing, I have taken into consideration all of the factors as required in 18 USC Section 3553 including, of course, as I said, the nature and circumstances of the offense, your history and characteristics including paragraph 84 was detailed by the Court. I have considered the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense.

Obviously, it goes without saying that this was a serious crime as contained in the chapter of the federal laws book of sexual exploitation of children. And this clearly was egregious conduct involving a 15-year-old child.

I have considered the need for the sentence imposed to promote respect for the law to provide you with a just punishment. I have considered the importance of imposing a sentence that affords an adequate deterrence to criminal conduct. In other words, hopefully, it makes anyone in the community who pays attention to this proceeding say you can't engage in this kind of conduct or you face the penalties.

I have considered the sentence needed to protect the public from further crimes on your part. I have considered what is the appropriate sentence to provide you

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

10:51:53AM 5

10:52:15AM10

10:52:36AM15

10:52:55AM20

with whatever care, treatment, and training you need in the most effective manner. I have considered the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records and found guilty of similar conduct.

I have considered the issue of restitution.

Although that is not an issue in this case; is that right,

Mr. Rossi?

10:53:27AM 5

10:53:38AM10

10:54:02AM15

10:54:17AM20

10:54:46AM25

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

MR. ROSSI: That's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: And I have considered the sentences available. I have considered the sentences available under the statute. I know that under the statute I can impose a sentence of life imprisonment. And of course, I have considered the sentences recommended under the advisory sentencing guidelines.

As to the Second Circuit's direction and in accordance with the Crosby and Gonzalez cases, I made the following findings which allowed me to properly consider the advisory sentencing guidelines along with all of the sentencing factors.

I have determined that your base offense level is 28, but there should be increases. A two-level increase pursuant to Guideline Section 2G1.3(b)(1)(B) because the minor involved was otherwise in your care, custody, or control. Obviously, we have the trips — the trip to Montana among other things.

I have determined there should be a two-level increase pursuant to Guideline Section 2G1.3(b)(2)(B) because the minor was unduly influenced by you to engage in prohibited sexual conduct.

1

2.

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

10:55:09AM 5

10:55:32AM10

10:56:04AM15

10:56:37AM20

10:56:58AM25

Another two-level increase because the offense involved the use of a computer. That's pursuant to Guideline Section 2G1.3(b)(3)(A).

Another two-level increase because the offense involved the commission of a sexual act. That is pursuant to Guideline Section 2G.3(b)(4)(A).

takes you to 30. Another 2 takes you to 32. Another 2 takes you to 34. Another 2 takes you to 36. And then we have -- pursuant to -- that takes you to a 36. However, there is that five-level increase pursuant to Guideline Section 4B1.5(B) involving that pattern of activity involving prohibited sexual conduct. That enhancement takes you to a 41.

While these were not specifically the numbers agreed to in the plea agreement and there has been much discussion about that, these are the numbers recommended by Ms. Rider in the Presentence Report. This is what the Court finds. And there has been really no objection to these findings. There has been edification to the Guidelines contained in the plea agreement, but no objection to these findings.

However, I do believe based on the information that Ms. Burger has brought to my attention on your behalf that you are entitled to a three-level reduction for acceptance and responsibility which takes you down to a 38.

1

2

3

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

10:57:23AM 5

10:57:57AM10

10:58:33AM15

10:58:45AM20

10:59:25AM25

Your criminal convictions resulted in a Criminal History Category 1 which is actually the lowest. And with a criminal history of 1 and an offense level of 38, the recommended range under the advisory guidelines is 235 months to 293 months in prison. Now, 235 months is 19 years 7 months. 293 months is 24 years and 5 months.

The recommended period of the supervised release is five years to life. Probation is not recommended. Fine range recommended is \$25,000 to \$250,000. Restitution is not an issue. And there is no dispute that Mr. Burdick is indigent; is that correct? So the only assessment would be the \$100 special assessment.

MR. ROSSI: That's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: So there would be no additional \$5,000 assessment; is that right, Mr. Rossi?

MR. ROSSI: Correct.

THE COURT: So these would be my options under the advisory guidelines. These are the recommendations. Now, this is an unusual case because the -- while the plea agreement precluded either you or the Government from arguing for sentence outside of the guidelines calculated in the plea

agreement, these are a different guideline sentence.

So pursuant to the plea agreement and the authority of the plea agreement, Ms. Burger has argued for a sentence of 135 months, just so we are on all squares. That's perfectly permissible based on the plea agreement; is that right,

Mr. Rossi?

1

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

21

2.2

23

24

11:00:06AM10

MR. ROSSI: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: To get there, therefore, I would have to either depart downward under the guidelines or give a non-guideline sentence. So based on the landscape of this case, the Court is considering Ms. Burger's request as either a request for a departure within the guideline analysis or a non-guideline sentence considering all of the factors.

Let's start off with the guideline analysis because whenever a sentence is made -- a request is made for a sentence below the guideline range, the Court is obligated to do two things. First, I have to determine whether within the guidelines, which are one of the sentencing factors, if there is any basis for a downward departure. Then I have to consider, apart from that, whether there is any basis for a non-guideline sentence.

The distinction is that on a departure, based on the guidelines, you are considering one of the sentencing factors, the guidelines. And a non-guideline sentence you are considering all of the sentencing factors I just went through.

17 18 19

11:00:52AM20

11:00:31AM15

11:01:08AM25

With respect to a non-guideline sentence, Ms.

Burger makes an argument that 4B1.5(B) -- couple arguments, it is not based on any empirical data. An argument that kind of stems from the Darby case which suggests that some of the 2G1.2 factors should not be roquery applied.

6

11:03:07AM10

11:03:44AM15

11:04:11AM20

11:02:44AM 5

1

2

3

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

The Court is certainly aware of Darby. Let me just digress and say that this is obviously not a Darby case. one thing, the maximum here is life. For another, this is a case where, Mr. Burdick, you acted out. But the other argument Ms. Burger makes is, really this was not intended for someone like you. She suggests that this pattern was designed to get repeat offenders not someone who with a victim, the same victim, for the same offense engages in instances of sexual activity. I think the problem with that argument is 4B1.5(A) which says in any case in which a defendant's instant offense of conviction is a covered sex crime, 4B1.1, the career offender statute, does not apply, and the defendant committed the instant offense of conviction subsequent to sustaining at least one sex offense conviction. I think 4B1.5 deals with individuals who commit a sex offense after committing a previous sex offense. So the Court disagrees and believes, based on the reading of 4B1.5, that you do fit. This was designed for somebody who engages, even with one

11:04:36AM25

victim, the pattern of sexual activity. And there is no

dispute that occurred here.

The Court understands fully that under a guideline analysis it can depart. Even based on a policy disagreement, if I disagreed with this, the Court has the ability to depart. I don't disagree with it. I think it fits. But the Court understands it has that discretion. The Court also understands that it can depart, under the guideline analysis, based on one factor or based on the combination of factors. However, in your case, Mr. Burdick, the Court does not believe that any factor individually, or in combination, or background, or any of the factors that Ms. Burger raises would justify a departure downward within the guideline analysis. That, of course, does not end the inquiry.

1

2

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

11:04:58AM 5

11:05:22AM10

11:05:45AM15

11:06:04AM20

11:06:33AM25

Several of the points Ms. Burger makes in the submission go to a non-guideline sentence. And again, the distinction is that on a non-guideline sentence, the Court considers all of the sentencing factors in Section 3553 and determines whether or not, based on a consideration of all of the factors, a non-guideline sentence is warranted.

I have done that. And on consideration of those factors, I do not believe a non-guideline sentence is warranted. And let me explain why. The nature of the offense supports the requested sentence. It's one of the arguments Ms. Burger makes, and I respectfully disagree. You knew you were dealing with a child all along. The communications were with a child. Now, she didn't know your age initially and she

later learned it. But you took advantage of the situation. 2 recall -- when you pled guilty, I asked you this: "Explain to me then why you would do something that you knew, kind of 3 everyone knows, is wrong." Your response: "I don't have any excuses, your Honor. I truly love these people, her mom, her 11:07:04AM 5 The Court: So you met her mom and dad? The Defendant: dad. 6 Yes, I stayed in their home, spent the night in their home 7 8 with them." It speaks something of your character, and perhaps lack thereof in my mind, Mr. Burdick, that you are abusing their child and yet taking advantage of their 11:07:32AM10 11 hospitality to stay in their home. So you know, I do not 12 believe that the nature of your offense supports 135 months. 13 I think quite the contrary. I think the nature of your offense, if anything, supports or points to the higher end of 14 11:08:13AM15 the guidelines. 16

Your background and characteristics, well quite the contrary. I disagree with that too for the simple reason that while Ms. Burger is arguing that your characteristics purport a 135-month sentence and saying that I should take into consideration your characteristics, I point to that other offense with the 14-year-old child which I won't detail again. But I think that clearly points to someone who is predatory in nature. You took advantage of that child just like you took advantage of the child in this case. So again, quite the contrary from pointing to a non-guideline sentence. I think

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

11:08:29AM20

11:08:49AM25

1 | it does just the opposite.

2.

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

11:09:23AM 5

11:09:59AM10

11:10:24AM15

11:10:47AM20

I don't believe -- Ms. Burger also argues that 135 months is sufficiently consistent with the sentencing factor relating to the seriousness of the offense. Again, this offense was calculated. It involved deceit. It involved taking advantage of a child. It is indicative of someone who is morally bankrupt to engage in this kind of conduct with a 15-year-old child.

So the Court, for the reasons I have stated and in consideration of this argument, does not believe based on the sentencing factors in 18 USC Section 3553 a non-guideline sentence is appropriate. The Court believes to the contrary that a guideline sentence is called for.

Now, what guideline sentence? Well, I think I can clearly justify sentencing the top end of the guidelines, but I am mindful of your age. Mr. Burdick, you are 59 years old. While I understand that you get a good time credit and the credit for the time you have in, the sentence for the lower end of the guidelines will mean that you will stay in prison until you're in your late 70s. Presumably, by that time any predatory inclinations that you have for children would hopefully be well, and I trust well, under control.

The Court has carefully considered all of the facts and circumstances surrounding your conviction as well as the objectives of sentencing as set forth in 18 USC Section 3553.

11:11:20AM25

- 1 Pursuant to that section of law and pursuant to the Sentencing
- 2 Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that you,
- 3 Carl Burdick, are hereby committed to the custody of the
- 4 Bureau of Prisons to be in prison for a term of 240 months.
- 11:12:03AM 5 | That is 20 years.
 - Because the guideline range exceeds 24 months, I'm
 - 7 | required to explain why that sentence -- well, again, while I
 - 8 have said that I think the sentence at the top of the
 - 9 guidelines based on the awful conduct that you engaged in
- 11:12:32AM10 | would be justified, I'm mindful of your age. Whether you make
 - 11 | it out of prison at all, I don't know. So I think a sentence
 - 12 | in consideration of all the sentencing factors, the obligation
 - 13 to protect the public from further crimes on your part, the
 - 14 responsibility to impose a just sentence, a sentence to
- 11:13:01AM15 reflect the seriousness of what you did, all of the sentencing
 - 16 | factors call for a sentence at the lower end of the guidelines
 - 17 of 240 months. And that's why I'm imposing that.
 - 18 The Court does believe a sentence of 240 months,
 - 19 considering all of the 18 USC Section 3553 factors, as they
- 11:13:26AM20 apply to the facts and circumstances of this case, is the
 - 21 sentence sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply
 - 22 with those sentencing requirements.
 - 23 Upon your release from imprisonment, you shall be
 - 24 placed on supervised release for 10 years. Why 10 years?
- 11:13:43AM25 | Well, there is no magical formula I apply. However, I did

consider the sentencing factors set forth in 18 USC Section 3553. I am mindful of my responsibility to make sure you no longer impose a threat to any children. And I believe that is the sentence appropriate considering the 18 USC Section 3553 factors that is needed to make sure that no children are put in danger. And therefore, I'm imposing that 10-year period of

Upon your release from imprisonment, you must report to the probation office in the federal judicial district where you are authorized to reside within 72 hours of release from imprisonment unless the probation office instructs you to report to a different probation office or within a different timeframe.

While on supervised release, you shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. You are prohibited from possessing a firearm, ammunition, or other dangerous device. In addition, you shall not possess a controlled substance and shall comply with the standard conditions that are adopted by the Court.

You shall comply with the following special conditions. Since the incident offense occurred after September 13th, 1994, drug testing is required by the 1994 Crime Control Act. Additionally, you shall cooperate with the collection of a DNA sample as required by the Justice for All Act of 2004.

11:14:53AM20

2.2

21

2.

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

supervised release.

11:14:08AM 5

11:14:28AM10

11:14:39AM15

23

24

11:15:10AM25

While on supervised release you shall not use or possess any computer, data storage device, or any internet capable device unless you participate in the computer and internet monitoring program or unless authorized by the Court or the US Probation Office. You must provide the US Probation Office with advance notification of my computers, automated services, or connective devices that will be used during the term of supervision. The probation office is authorized to install any applications necessary to surveil all activity on any computers or connected devices which you own or operate. You are required to pay the cost of monitoring services. The US Probation Office shall be notified via electronic transmission of impermissible, suspicious activity, or communications occurring on such computer or connected device consistent with the computer monitoring policy in effect by the probation office. It is triggered by impermissible or suspicious activity.

You shall consent to and cooperate with unannounced examinations of any computer equipment which you own or use. This examination shall include but is not limited to retrieval and copying of all data from computers, connective devices, storage media, and any internal or external peripherals and may involve removal of such equipment for the purpose of conducting a more thorough inspection. Any such monitoring or examination shall be designed to avoid, as much as possible,

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

11:15:33AM 5

11:15:55AM10

11:16:14AM15

11:16:30AM20

reading any privileged information or any private material
that is not illegal or reasonably likely to lead to illegal
material or evidence related to illegal activity.

You must participate in the sex offense specific treatment program and follow the rules and regulations of the program. The probation office will supervise the details of your participation in the program including the selection of a provider and schedule. You are not to leave treatment until complete or as ordered by the Court. You are required to contribute to the cost of services rendered.

Next, you shall not have deliberate contact with any child under 18 years of age, excluding any of your own biological or adopted children, unless approved by the probation office or the Court.

You shall not loiter within 100 feet of schoolyards, or playgrounds, or arcades, or other places primarily used by children under the age of 18. The probation office has discretion to authorize you to pick up, if you had your own children, from school or other functions. However, authorization must be obtained in advance from the probation office or alternatively from the Court.

In order to monitor your compliance with not buying or subscribing to online services that provide child pornography, you shall provide the probation department with access to any requested personal and/or business financial

11:18:14AM25

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

11:17:06AM 5

11:17:22AM10

11:17:40AM15

11:17:57AM20

information. You shall register with the state sex offender registration in any state where you reside, or are employed, carry on a vocation, or are a student and shall provide proof of the registration to the probation office. The probation office is authorized to release your presentence report to the New York State Board of Examiners of Sex Offenders. Further disclosure to the county court and the parties involved in this determination of your final classification level is also authorized.

You shall submit to a search of your person, property, vehicle, place of residence, or other property under your control based upon reasonable suspension and permit confiscation of any evidence or contraband discovered.

You shall submit to a polygraph, computerized voice stress analyzer, or other such testing not to exceed twice during a calendar year and an additional two retests per year as needed. The test may include examinations using a polygraph, computerized voice stress analyzer, or other similar device to obtain information necessary for supervision, case monitoring, or treatment. You shall answer the questions posed during the examination subject to your right to challenge in a court of law. The use of such statements is in violation of your fifth amendment rights. In this regard, you shall be deemed not to have waived your fifth amendment rights by making such statements. The results of

1

2.

3

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

11:18:31AM 5

11:18:48AM10

11:19:05AM15

11:19:25AM20

11:19:41AM25

any polygraph pretests or polygraph examinations shall be disclosed to the US Probation Office and to the Court which will not be further disclosed without a court order. You are required to contribute to the costs of services rendered.

You are to participate in a mental health treatment program including a mental health evaluation and any treatment recommended. The probation office will supervise the details of any testing and treatment including the selection of a provider and the selection of and schedule -- excuse me. If impatient treatment is recommended, however, it must be approved by the Court unless you consent. You are not have any -- you are not to leave such treatment until completion is ordered by the Court.

While in treatment or taking any kind of psychiatric medication, you shall abstain from the use of alcohol. You are required to contribute to the cost of services rendered.

I'm going to impose a \$1,000 fine. I find that you have the ability to pay such a fine. Interest on the fine is waived while incarcerated. If you are non-UNICOR or UNICOR Grade 5, you shall pay in installments of 25 per quarter. If you are assigned grades 1 through 4 in UNICOR, you shall pay in installments of 50 percent of your monthly pay.

While in supervision, if that takes place, you can make monthly payments at the rate of 10 percent of your

2.

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

11:19:58AM 5

11:20:15AM10

11:20:30AM15

11:20:47AM20

1 | monthly grossly income if the fine is not paid.

The Court finds that you are indeed indigent and cannot afford to pay the mandatory \$5,000 Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act of 2015 assessment. However, you do have to pay the mandatory special assessment of \$100 which is due

11:21:17AM 5

11:21:40AM10

11

12

13

16

17

18

6 immediately. If you cannot pay it, then payments shall begin

7 on the Bureau of Prisons Financial Responsibility Program.

8 Payments shall be made to the Clerk of the United States

District Court, Attention: Finance, United States Courthouse,

2 Niagara Square, Buffalo, New York, 14202.

Ms. Rider, the mandatory drug testing requirement, you are recommending that be waived?

MS. RIDER: No, I don't believe I was, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: I didn't see that.

MS. RIDER: You had previously read that. However, reviewing the report, it looks like there would be no problem if it were waived. I don't see any recent history of substance abuse.

THE COURT: All right. The Court then will waive the mandatory drug testing pursuant to the 1984 Crime Control Act. I did indicate that it was imposed. But again, I just want to make sure that you are in agreement, Ms. Rider, you don't see any history of substance abuse?

MS. RIDER: That's correct, your Honor. I do not see that.

11:22:07AM15

19

11:22:28AM20

2.2

21

23

2.4

11:22:51AM25

THE COURT: So I will waive that. One of the 1 2 things I just want to remind you of, Mr. Burdick, is what we discussed in the plea agreement. I don't know what will 3 happen in such point as you complete your sentence. As I said, I don't know if you ever will complete the sentence 11:23:17AM 5 based on your age. However, if you do, I want to remind you 7 that because of your conviction, there may, in this action, it 8 may result in a civil commitment under Federal Law 18 USC Section 4243. If it is determined you are a sexually dangerous person, that would be handled by the Attorney 11:23:42AM10 11 General's Office and that would occur in the judicial district 12 where you are serving your sentence. 13 Counsel, I'm just trying to recollect, pursuant to Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the 14 11:26:57AM15 defendant would have the right to appeal the sentence, is that 16 correct, based on the --17 MS. BURGER: Yes, it is. And when the Court has 18 completed its remarks, I have some exceptions to make of 19 potential --

THE COURT: Certainly. First of all, pursuant to the plea agreement and specifically pursuant to paragraph 25, the defendant is forfeiting any interest he has in the Samsung Galaxy cellular telephone specified. This is the sentence of the Court.

And pursuant to Rule 32J of the Federal Rules of

11:27:07AM20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Procedure, I'm informing you, Mr. Burdick, you have the right
to appeal the sentence I have imposed. If you can't afford
your own lawyer, you are entitled to seek to appeal as a poor
person, but the procedure, at least in this district, is that
Ms. Burger or someone in her office will handle the appeal for
you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

2.4

11:28:57AM20

11:29:14AM25

11:28:19AM10

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Burger.

MS. BURGER: Thank you, Judge. I would like to interpose an objection with respect to the prison portion of the sentence that was imposed.

As the first point, I would argue that the Court placed undue emphasis on the guidelines in this case and that the sentence was driven by that undue emphasis.

Secondly, I would repeat my objection to the verification testing condition. I know I argued it earlier. The argument is that it is an excessive condition. That some of the language improperly delegates influenced judicial authority to the probation department. And also, that one of the items of testing that was proposed, computer voice stress analysis, is unreliable.

Third, with respect to the computer monitoring condition, in light of the sentence imposed, I would ask the Court to consider deferring the details of that condition until such time as Mr. Burdick might actually be released on

supervised release. Given the advances of technology, it
seems fairly likely, if not very likely, that the contours of
whatever program probation has in place for monitoring devices
will be very different in 15 to 20 years than it is today.

And I certainly would object to it being left to whatever the

11:29:37AM 5

11:29:56AM10

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

program evolves to within the next 15 to 20 years.

With respect to the request that we made in our original sentencing statement, I'd ask the Court to consider recommending that my client be housed as close to the Watertown, New York area. That is where his family is based. That also, it recommends to the Bureau of Prisons that he serve his sentence in a facility with a sex offender management program yard, SOMP yard.

THE COURT: Now, help me out on that. First of all, let me address your concerns. You have your exception as to the truth verification condition the Court imposed.

The Court will recommend, pursuant to your request, that he be housed in a suitable Bureau of Prisons facility as close to Watertown as possible.

With respect to -- the Court is certainly, as I have suggested and detailed, is certainly mindful of, on sex offender cases, the importance of not applying the guidelines roguery. The Court did not do that in this case. The Court, as I indicated and I will tell you to your face, I believe this is the appropriate sentence. I told you, Mr. Burdick, I

11:30:31AM20

11:30:13AM15

22 c 23 r 24 a

11:30:50AM25

believe you are a predator. You took advantage of a child 2 repeatedly, but you have an exception to the Court's ruling in that regard. 3 I'm sorry. What was the other thing you mentioned? MS. BURGER: The way the computer monitoring 11:31:07AM 5 conditions --6 7 THE COURT: Yes, I will -- I'm going to leave it 8 in, but I will give you -- when he does get out, if someone from your office is representing him or whatever, I will give leave to have that condition revisited in light of any changes 11:31:21AM10 11 that occur. Anything else? 12 MR. ROSSI: Nothing from me, Judge. THE COURT: Ms. Rider, anything? 13 MS. RIDER: Just one thing, your Honor. Were you 14 11:31:31am15 also going to make the recommendation for the SOMP yard. 16 THE COURT: Yes. Explain -- that's what I wanted 17 to ask you. Explain that to me because we don't have Butner 18 anymore where they sent offenders. So are there certain 19 facilities where these yards are in place? Is the defendant 11:31:52AM20 eligible for that only after he serves some of his sentence? 21 MS. BURGER: This is not necessarily tied to an 2.2 inmate participating in a voluntary sex offender treatment 23 program while within the BOP. There are a number of different

facilities that, I don't know why, but over the years have

come to house larger members of individuals who are convicted

24

11:32:14AM25

of sexual offenses. The presence of those larger numbers of individuals in those facilities in some instances, from what I have learned anecdotally, can mean that there are additional services available there. Not strictly speaking regular mental health treatment that we might think of, it's something more than what's typically available to the inmate.

THE COURT: What is it called?

MS. BURGER: SOMP, I think it's short for Sex
Offender Management Program, and they call it, yard. In
addition, this would have the effect of allowing Mr. Burdick
to be housed with other individuals who are convicted of
similar offenses and would have a bearing, in our view, on
safety concerns that he might at another facility.

THE COURT: I will recommend -- and again, I'm telling you, Mr. Burdick, the Bureau of Prisons does not have to do anything that I recommend. Hopefully, they will look at it. But again, I recommend that, again, you be housed in a suitable Bureau of Prisons facility as close to Rochester as possible and --

MS. RIDER: Watertown, Judge. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Watertown. Excuse me. And again, I don't know which recommendation trumps the other and be housed in a suitable Bureau of Prisons facility that has a sex offender management program. Now, that may not be close to Watertown, Ms. Burger; correct?

11:33:35AM25

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

11:32:49AM10

11:33:04AM15

11:33:19AM20

```
MS. BURGER: Yes, that's correct.
       1
       2
                       THE COURT: So what --
       3
                       MS. BURGER: I think the BOP will sort that out,
       4
          Judge.
                       THE COURT: All right. Very good. That's the
11:33:45AM 5
       6
          sentence of the Court. Thank you very much.
       7
                       MR. ROSSI: Thank you, Judge.
       8
                       (The proceeding concludes at 11:33 a.m.)
       9
      10
      11
      12
                                 REPORTER CERTIFICATE
      13
      14
                I, Briana L. Jeffords, do hereby certify that I did report
      15
          in stenotype machine shorthand the proceedings held in the
      16
          above-entitled matter.
      17
                               Briana L. Jeffords
BRIANA L. JEFFORDS
      18
      19
                               Freelance Court Reporter and
                               Notary Public No. 01JE6325111
      20
                               in and for Genesee County, New York
      21
      22
      23
      24
      25
```